

# Exercises

## (Linear Regression)

### # Exercise 1: plotting regression lines

#### *(easy warm-up exercise)*

Using the RT-data example from the lecture (RT as a function of lexical frequency and spelling of words; <http://www.psy.gla.ac.uk/~christop/MScStats/2018/Regress/RTs.csv>), create a scatterplot with the following features:

- Red symbols for “lowercase” and blue symbols for “uppercase” data points
- Two regression lines (red for the linear relationship between *logfreq* and *RT* in the “lowercase” spelling condition; blue for the linear relationship between *logfreq* and *RT* in the “uppercase” spelling condition)
- Extra points if you also include 95% confidence intervals around the lines

**Question** (briefly explain your answer): What kind of effect would one use such a plot as a visualisation for?

- The main effect of *logfreq*
- The main effect of *spelling*
- The *spelling* × *logfreq* interaction

### # Exercise 2: `lm()` with categorical predictors

#### *(slightly tricky – clever predictor coding required!)*

The following link takes you to a new (fabricated) independent-measures dataset:

[http://www.psy.gla.ac.uk/~christop/MScStats/2018/Regress/HW\\_1\\_2.csv](http://www.psy.gla.ac.uk/~christop/MScStats/2018/Regress/HW_1_2.csv)

It contains three orthogonally manipulated categorical predictor variables, namely *A* (with levels *a1* and *a2*), *B* (with levels *b1* and *b2*), and *C* (with levels *c1* and *c2*). The dependent variable (DV) is continuous and normally distributed, and there are 160 cases altogether.

- (1) Using the function `lm()` in R, run the equivalent of a  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  ANOVA to determine which **overall main effects and interactions** are significant\*. Report corresponding *F*- and *p*-values.
- (2) Using the function `lm()` in R, run **follow-up tests** to decompose any significant\* **two-way** interactions from the previous omnibus analysis into simple effects. Again, report corresponding *F*- and *p*-values.
- (3) Using the function `lm()` in R, **hierarchically decompose the three-way interaction** ( $A \times B \times C$ ) into simpler effects. Specifically, determine separate “simple” two-way interactions ( $B \times C$ ) for each level of *A*; if any of these simple  $B \times C$  interactions is significant\*, decompose it further into simple effects of *C* for each level of *B*. Again, report *F*- and *p*-values throughout.

(\*Note: “significant” means  $p \leq .05$ )

**# Exercise 3: determine  $\mu$  ( ) predicted values “by hand”**

**(easy again)**

From the omnibus analysis in part (1) of the previous exercise, use the model coefficients to determine the predicted mean DV for the following design cell:  $A=a_2, B=b_2, C=c_1$ .